

20SK – Signals and Codes

Lecture 11 – Hamming code (2018/12/10)

Topics discussed:

- Hamming sphere for correcting t errors.
- Hamming bound. Hamming bound for binary code that corrects t errors.
- Perfect codes.
- Hamming code: definition, parity-check matrix properties, construction of generator matrix.
- Syndrome decoding of Hamming code.
- Hamming code examples, construction of the code from parity-check matrix, direct construction of generator matrix.

The relevant literature is [1, chapter 3], [2, chapters 10 and 12] and [3, chapter 4].

Resources

- [1] Morelos-Zaragoza, R. H.: The Art of Error-Correcting Coding. 2nd edition, John Wiley & Sons, 2006, 263pp.
- [2] Adámek, J: Foundations of Coding: Theory and Applications of Error-Correcting Codes with an Introduction to Cryptography and Information Theory. Wiley Interscience, 1991, 352 pp.
- [3] Moon, T. K.: Error Correction Coding – Mathematical Methods and Algorithms. Wiley Interscience, 2005, 756 pp.

Note: Due to your no-show at the lecture I consider this topic to be fully explained and I will not consult this under any circumstances.

(4,2)-code

$$n=4$$

$$k=2$$

$$4 \leq 2^2 - 1 = 3$$

→ does not correct anyth.

(7,4)-code

$$7 \leq 2^3 - 1 = 7$$

→ perfect code

(8,4)

$$8 \leq 2^4 - 1 = 15$$

→ corrects single err.
not perfect

"PERFECT" CODES

- the shortest possible code for given detection & correction capabilities (it does not always exist for given n and k)

→ Hamming bound: For every single-error correction code it holds

$$n \leq 2^{n-k} - 1$$

and for a perfect code

$$n = 2^{n-k} - 1$$

added redundancy

Ex. (3,1)-code

$$n=3$$

$$k=1$$

$$3 \leq 2^2 - 1 = 3$$

⇒ perfect single-error-corr. code

(4,3)-code

$$n=4$$

$$k=3$$

$$4 \leq 2 - 1$$

⇒ does not correct any error

$(4,2)$ -code

$$n=4$$

$$k=2$$

$$4 \leq 2^2 - 1 = 3$$

→ does not correct anythg.

$(7,4)$ -code

$$7 \leq 2^3 - 1 = 7$$

→ perfect code

$(8,4)$

$$8 \leq 2^4 - 1 = 15$$

→ corrects single err.
not perfect

"PERFECT" CODES

$$n = 2^m - 1$$

$(3,1), (5,2), (6,3), (7,4),$

$(9,5), (10,6), (11,7), \dots (15,11)$



Hamming codes = perfect codes for correcting single errors

for m bits of redundancy

$$n = 2^m - 1$$

$$d_{\min} = 3$$

$$k = 2^m - m - 1$$

m	n	k
1	1	\emptyset
2	3	1
3	7	4
4	15	11
5	31	26

and so on...

→ $(16,12)$ does not correct a single err.

⇒ we need $(17,12)$

Ex. $(3,1)$ -code

$$n=3$$

$$k=1$$

$$3 \leq 2^2 - 1 = 3$$

⇒ perfect single-error-corr. code

$(4,3)$ -code

$$n=4$$

$$k=3$$

$$4 \leq 2 - 1$$

⇒ does not correct any error

Ex:

$$H = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad \begin{array}{l} \text{repetition} \\ \text{code } n=3 \\ (3,1) \end{array}$$

$$H = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad (4,1) \text{ code}$$

$$H = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \left. \begin{array}{l} n-k \\ -3 \end{array} \right\} \quad (7,4)$$

$n=7$

→ non-systematic!

HAMMING CODES

- "perfect codes" for single error correction
- for m redundancy syms.

$$n = 2^m - 1$$

$$k = 2^m - m - 1$$

$$d = 3$$

Definition: Binary lin. code \mathcal{K} corrects single errors iff its parity check matrix H has (i) nonzero and (ii) pairwise distinct columns.

Hamming code:

- matrix H has $2^m - 1$ columns
- H can be constructed by listing all numbers from $1 \dots n$ in binary form as columns

$$\vec{v} = \vec{u} \cdot G$$

$$\vec{v} \cdot H^T = 0$$

$$\hookrightarrow H \cdot \vec{v} = 0$$

Ex:

$$H = \begin{pmatrix} 0011 \\ 1001 \\ 0111 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$H = \begin{pmatrix} 000111 \\ 0110011 \\ 1010101 \end{pmatrix} \quad n=7 \quad (7,4)$$

→ non-systematic!

systematic code:

$$G = \begin{bmatrix} I_{k \times k} & P_{k \times (n-k)} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$H = \begin{bmatrix} P^T_{(n-k) \times k} & I_{(n-k) \times (n-k)} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$H_{sys} = \begin{pmatrix} 0111 & | & 100 \\ 1011 & | & 010 \\ 1101 & | & 001 \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow P^T = \begin{pmatrix} 0111 \\ 1011 \\ 1101 \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow P = \begin{pmatrix} 011 \\ 101 \\ 110 \\ 111 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\rightarrow G_{sys} = \begin{pmatrix} 1000 & | & 011 \\ 0100 & | & 101 \\ 0010 & | & 110 \\ 0001 & | & 111 \end{pmatrix}$$

alternative

$$H \cdot \vec{v} = 0 \quad (\text{using non-sys})$$

$$\textcircled{N_3} \oplus \textcircled{N_4} \oplus \textcircled{N_5} \oplus \textcircled{N_6} = 0 \quad \text{row 1 of } H$$

$$\textcircled{N_1} \oplus \textcircled{N_2} \oplus \textcircled{N_5} \oplus \textcircled{N_6} = 0 \quad \text{row 2}$$

$$\textcircled{N_0} \oplus \textcircled{N_2} \oplus \textcircled{N_4} \oplus \textcircled{N_6} = 0 \quad \text{row 3}$$

$$G \cdot H^T = 0$$

$$\begin{aligned} N_3 &= N_4 \oplus N_5 \oplus N_6 \\ N_1 &= N_2 \oplus N_5 \oplus N_6 \\ N_0 &= N_2 \oplus N_4 \oplus N_6 \end{aligned}$$

information bits

→ parity bits

non-systematic generator matrix:

$$G = \begin{pmatrix} 1110000 \\ 1001100 \\ 0101010 \\ 1101001 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\vec{v} = \vec{u} \cdot G$$

Ex:

$$\vec{u} = (1011)$$

$$\vec{v} = (1, 0, 1, 1) \cdot G_{\text{sys}}$$

$$= (1011010)$$

$$H_{\text{sys}} \cdot \vec{v}^T = (000) \Rightarrow \vec{v} \text{ is a code-word}$$

$$\vec{w} = (101\overset{\text{error}}{0}010)$$

$$H_{\text{sys}} \cdot \vec{w}^T = (111) \rightarrow \vec{w} \text{ is not a code-word}$$

↳ corresponds to the 4th column of H_{sys}

\vec{w} has 4th bit flipped

$$\Rightarrow \vec{v} = (101\boxed{1}010)$$

$$H_{\text{sys}} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & | & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & | & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & | & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\rightarrow G_{\text{sys}} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & | & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & | & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & | & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & | & 1 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$(1011) \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} = 0$$

Decoding:

$$\vec{v} \cdot H^T = H \cdot \vec{v}^T = \vec{0}$$

↑ syndrome

syndrome is $\begin{cases} = 0 \dots \text{code word} \\ \neq 0 \dots \text{error} \end{cases}$

For single errors, syndrome points to the erratic bit!

$$\vec{w} = (\vec{v} + \vec{e})$$

↑ error vector
single bit set

$$\begin{aligned} \vec{w} \cdot H^T &= (\vec{v} + \vec{e}) \cdot H^T = \\ &= \underbrace{\vec{v} \cdot H^T}_0 + \vec{e} \cdot H^T = \vec{e} \cdot H^T \end{aligned}$$

$\Rightarrow \vec{e}$ copies out the column of H where the error occurred

H_{sys} needs another LUT to map syndrome to corresponding bit in the code-word; non-systematic coding does not need that.

HAMMING CODES

- perfect codes for correcting single errors
↳ minimum possible redundancy

- defined for m bits of redundancy as (n, k) codes where

$$n = 2^m - 1$$

$$k = 2^m - m - 1$$

$$d_{\min} = 3$$

Ex: Hamming codes

$(3, 1) \dots (7, 4) \dots (15, 11)$

Def: A perfect binary code \mathcal{C} corrects single errors iff all columns of parity check matrix H are (a) nonzero (b) different

Ex: $(3, 1)$ Hamming code

$$H = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

Ex: $(7, 4)$ Hamming code

$$H = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \left. \vphantom{\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}} \right\} \begin{matrix} m-k \\ m \end{matrix}$$

$H \rightarrow G$ possible for systematic codes:

$$H_{sys} = (P^T | I)$$

For $(7, 4)$ -code we have

$$H_{sys} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad G_{sys} = (I | P)$$

$$G = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

Decoding:

input \vec{u} ; code-word $\vec{v} = \vec{u} \cdot G$

(transmission)

\vec{w} received $\vec{w} \cdot H^T = \vec{0}$

a) $\vec{u} = (0101) \rightarrow \vec{v} = (0101\ 010)$

$$\vec{v} \cdot H^T = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \vec{v} \text{ is a code-word!!}$$

b) single error: $\vec{v} = (0101010) \rightarrow$

$$\vec{w} = (0100010)$$

$$\vec{w} \cdot H^T = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} = \vec{s} \quad \vec{w} \text{ is not a code word, } \vec{s} \text{ corresponds to the column in } H \text{ where the error occurred}$$

Ex: Why is this possible?

$$\vec{w} = \vec{v} + \vec{e}$$

Note: vector \vec{e} has all-but-one bits 0

$$\vec{w} \cdot H^T = (\vec{v} + \vec{e}) \cdot H^T = \underbrace{\vec{v} \cdot H^T}_0 + \underbrace{\vec{e} \cdot H^T}_{\text{copies out the } i\text{-th column of } H}$$

c) double error: $\vec{w} = (0000010)$

$$\vec{w} \cdot H^T = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \vec{w} \text{ is not a code word, but incorrectly estimates } \vec{v} = (0000000)$$

$\Rightarrow d_{\min} = 3$, cannot correct double errors!

$H \rightarrow G$ possible for systematic codes:

$$H_{\text{sys}} = (P^T | I)$$

For (7,4)-code we have

$$H_{\text{sys}} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad G_{\text{sys}} = (I | P)$$

$$G_{\text{sys}} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

Decoding:

input \vec{u} ; code-word $\vec{v} = \vec{u} \cdot G$

{ (transmission)

\vec{w} received $\vec{w} \cdot H^T = \vec{0}$

a) $\vec{u} = (0101) \rightarrow \vec{v} = (0101010)$

$\vec{v} \cdot H^T = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$ \vec{v} is a code-word !!

b) single error: $\vec{v} = (0101010) \rightarrow$
 $\rightarrow \vec{w} = (0100010)$

$\vec{w} \cdot H^T = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} = \vec{s}$ \vec{w} is not a code word, \vec{s} corresponds to the column in H where the error occurred

Ex: Why is this possible?

$\vec{w} = \vec{v} + \vec{e}$

Note: vector \vec{e} has all-but-one bits 0

$\vec{w} \cdot H^T = (\vec{v} + \vec{e}) \cdot H^T = \underbrace{\vec{v} \cdot H^T}_0 + \underbrace{\vec{e} \cdot H^T}_{\text{copies out the } i\text{-th column of } H}$

c) double error: $\vec{w} = (0000010)$

$\vec{w} \cdot H^T = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$ \vec{w} is not a code word, but incorrectly estimated as $\vec{v} = (0000000)$

$\Rightarrow d_{min} = 3$, cannot correct double errors!

$H \rightarrow G$ possible for systematic codes:

$H_{sys} = (P^T | I)$

For (7,4)-code we have

$H_{sys} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ $G_{sys} = (I | P)$

$G_{sys} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$

Ex: Hamming (3,1) - repetition code

$$G_{\text{sys}} = [1, 1, 1]$$

$$P = [1, 1] \Rightarrow P^T = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$H_{\text{ext}} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\vec{w} = (000) \quad \vec{e} = (001) \quad \left. \vphantom{\vec{w}} \right\} \vec{w}' = (001)$$

$$\vec{w}' \cdot H^T = (001) \cdot \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\vec{w}' \cdot H^T = (101) \cdot \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$n = 2^m - 1$$

HAMMING CODES

Construction:

a) from H

$$H = \begin{bmatrix} 0001111 \\ 0110011 \\ 1010101 \end{bmatrix} \left. \vphantom{H} \right\} \begin{array}{l} m \text{ rows} \\ n \text{ columns} \end{array}$$

- columns are distinct
- represent numbers from 1 to n

$$H_{\text{sys}} = \begin{bmatrix} 0111 & 100 \\ 1011 & 010 \\ 1101 & 001 \end{bmatrix}$$

b) using parity bit assignment
non-systematic code
construct a parity bit assignment and copy it to systematic G afterwards

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
	P_0	P_1	d_0	P_2	d_1	d_2	d_3
P_0	1		X		X		X
P_1		1	X			X	X
P_2				1	X	X	X

position 1 $\Rightarrow \dots 1$ (2^1)
position 2 $\Rightarrow \dots 1$ (2^2)
position 4 $\Rightarrow \dots 1$ (2^3)

- 1 ... 001
- 2 ... 010
- 3 ... 011
- 4 ... 100
- 5 ... 101
- 6 ... 110
- 7 ... 111

$$G = \begin{bmatrix} 1110000 \\ 1001100 \\ 0101010 \\ 1101001 \end{bmatrix} \left. \vphantom{G} \right\} k$$

$$\vec{u} \cdot G = \vec{u}'^m$$

$$\Rightarrow \begin{aligned} P_0 &= d_0 \oplus d_1 \oplus d_3 \\ P_1 &= d_0 \oplus d_2 \oplus d_3 \\ P_2 &= d_1 \oplus d_2 \oplus d_3 \end{aligned}$$